


# MANUAL TESTING v/s AUTOMATED TESTING

THE CHOICE IS CLEAR!



43% of people point out that the "slow testing process" is a challenge when it comes to developing apps today\*.

No wonder banks are turning to automation of QA and testing activities for better coverage, shorter test times, and improved quality.



## Manual testing v/s Automated testing

### Manual testing

Versatile & responsive to instability

### Automated testing

Structured and cannot deal with ambiguity

Automated testing ensures results that can be replicated.

No special training required

Requires special training for some systems

Specialization offers a high-degree of quality and reliability.

Fast to start, difficult to repeat

Slow to start, fast and easy in subsequent regression cycles

Automated regression testing ensures better product quality.

Inconsistent, as data entry sequence and data values might change for each run

Consistent, sequence and values do not change across runs

Automation enables consistent results that can be predicted and prepared for.

Prone to human error and less reliable

More reliable due to Robotic Automation

Testing is a repetitive process. RTA ensures high quality consistently.

Time consuming and labour intensive

Fast and requires little human intervention

Redundant tasks can be automated, thereby achieving quality at speed.

Highly taxing when test cases need to be run repeatedly

Resilient for long duration repeatable test cases

Repeated testing can be resource-intensive. Automation ensures more tests are performed swiftly.

Start your test automation journey today.

Experience Tenjin

